

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/352,466	07/13/1999	VIRGINIA C BROUDY	A-195CDD	2305
21069	7590 01/02/2004		EXAMINER	
AMGEN INCORPORATED			HELMS, LARRY RONALD	
MAIL STOP 27-4-A ONE AMGEN CENTER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
+	OAKS, CA 91320-1799		1642	
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	dvisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action		09/352,466	BROUDY ET AL.			
, tan 2001 , 1 10 and		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Larry R. Helms	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 20 Therefore, further action by the applifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 m condition for allowance; (2) a timely Examination (RCE) in compliance w	icant is required to avage in the second in	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of the control of the	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
no event, however, will the statuto ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN 706.07(f).) the mailing date of this A bry period for reply expire I THE FIRST REPLY WAS ler 37 CFR 1.136(a). The of determining the period of (1) the expiration date of reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed of 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any exter		Appellant's Brief must be filed v R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	•			
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s)	will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) \square they raise the issue of ne	w matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to p issues for appeal; and/or	lace the application in	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional c	laims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation	<u>Sheet</u> .					
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcom	e the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended of canceling the non-allowable of		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibited application in condition for all			dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NO raised by the Examiner in the		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the p explanation of how the new o		(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo				
The status of the claim(s) is (c	or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 26-44.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from cons	sideration:		Λ			
8. The drawing correction filed or	n is a)□ appı	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information	Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)				
10. Other:			/////			
•			HELMS, PH.D			
			LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment adds the limitation of "treating cancer" and that the patient is receiving a chemotherapeutic agent which would require further consideration since these limitations were never searched.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): IF IF IF entered, 112 second and 112 first for enablement for make and use but not for 112 first for deposit because the response does not state that all restrictions upon the availability to the public of the deposited biological material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent on this application:.

16